

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. CV 23-02740-SPG-E

Date August 3, 2023

Title Deondre Raglin v. Murata Properties, LLC et al

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Present: The Honorable | SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
|------------------------|--|

P. Gomez

Not Reported

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

Proceeding: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, **on or before August 17, 2023**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78*. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

Defendant **Murata Properties, LLC** did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff(s) have failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by dismissing the complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer PG